

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No. 5:13CR512
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Judge Benita Y. Pearson
	)	
vs.	)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
	)	OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
GERARDO PEREZ-MENDOZA,	)	
	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	Magistrate Judge Kathleen B. Burke
	)	

Pursuant to General Order 99-49, this matter having been referred to United States Magistrate Judge Kathleen B. Burke for purposes for receiving, on consent of the parties, the defendant's offer of a plea of guilty, conducting the colloquy prescribed by Fed. R. Crim. P. 11, causing a verbatim record of the proceedings to be prepared, referring the matter, if appropriate, for presentence investigation, and submitting a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation stating whether the plea should be accepted and a finding of guilty entered, the following, along with the transcript or other record of the proceedings submitted herewith, constitutes the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation concerning the plea of guilty proffered by the defendant.

1. On April 10, 2014, the defendant, accompanied by counsel, proffered a plea of guilty to Counts one (1), two (2), three (3), four (4) and six (6) of the Superseding Indictment.
2. Prior to such proffer, the defendant was examined as to his competency, advised of the charge and consequences of conviction, informed that the Federal Sentencing Guidelines are advisory and the Court must consider them but the Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, notified of his rights, advised that he was waiving all

his rights except the right to counsel, and, with limited exceptions, his right to appeal, and otherwise provided with the information prescribed in Fed. R. Crim. P. 11.

3. The parties and counsel informed the court that no plea agreement had been reached between the parties. The undersigned was advised that no commitments or promises have been made by any party, and no other agreements, written or unwritten, have been made between the parties.
4. The undersigned questioned the defendant under oath about the knowing, intelligent, and voluntary nature of the plea of guilty, and finds that the defendant's plea was offered knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily.
5. The parties provided the undersigned with sufficient information about the charged offense(s) and the defendant's conduct to establish a factual basis for the plea.

In light of the foregoing and the record submitted herewith, the undersigned finds that the defendant's plea was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary, and that all requirements imposed by the United States Constitution and Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 have been satisfied. Therefore, the undersigned recommends that the plea of guilty to Counts one (1), two (2), three (3), four (4) and six (6) of the Superseding Indictment be accepted and a finding of guilty be entered by the Court.

s/Kathleen B. Burke  
Kathleen B. Burke  
United States Magistrate Judge

Date: April 11, 2014

*ANY OBJECTIONS* to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Clerk of Court within fourteen (14) days of receipt of this notice. Failure to file objections within the specified time WAIVES the right to appeal the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. *See, United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985), *reh'g denied*, 474 U.S. 1111 (1986).